

Part C SPPCP05 (5)

Part C Indicator #1: Early Intervention Services in a Timely Manner

Part C Monitoring Priority Indicator #1:

Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.

Measurement:

Percent = # of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner divided by the total # of infants and toddlers with IFSPs times 100.

WHAT CONTENT MUST EACH STATE INCLUDE IN ITS SPP?

Met, Unmet or N/A	SPP Page #	Content - Italics indicate content unique to this indicator.
		Provided an overview or description of the issue, process, system (i.e., Early Intervention Services in a timely manner).
		Provided clear, quantifiable baseline data using the data source and measurements determined by the State. (Problems could include unreliable or incomplete data, not 2004-05 data, wrong calculation used, sample size not representative or too small, etc.)
		<i>Data taken from monitoring or State data system and based on actual, not an average number of days.</i>
		<i>Included the State's criteria for "timely" receipt of early intervention services, (i.e., time period from parent consent to IFSP services initiation date).</i>
		<i>Accounted for untimely receipt of services.</i>
		TARGETS: Designated the Measurable and Rigorous Targets for each of the FFYs 2005-2010. Target must be 100%.
		IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITIES: Described the Improvement Activities the State will complete over 6 years including timelines and resources.
		<i>If data was taken from State monitoring, State described the method used to select EIS programs for monitoring.</i>
Comments:		

Part C SPPCP05 (5)

Part C Indicator #2: Settings

Part C Monitoring Priority Indicator #2:

Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.¹

Measurement:

Percent = # of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children divided by the total # of infants and toddlers with IFSPs times 100.

WHAT CONTENT MUST EACH STATE INCLUDE IN ITS SPP?

Met or Unmet	SPP Page #	Content - Italics indicate content unique to this indicator.
		Provided an overview or description of the issue, process, system (i.e., settings).
		Provided clear, quantifiable baseline data using the data source and measurements determined by the State. (Problems could include unreliable or incomplete data, not 2004-05 data, wrong calculation used, sample size not representative or too small, etc.)
		TARGETS: Designated the Measurable and Rigorous Targets for each of the FFYs 2005-2010.
		IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITIES: Described the Improvement Activities the State will complete over 6 years including timelines and resources.
		<i>Sampling from State's 618 data is not allowed.</i>
Comments:		

¹ At the time of the release of this package, revised forms for collection of 618 State reported data had not yet been approved. Indicators will be revised as needed to align with language in the 2005-2006 State reported data collections.

Part C SPPCP05 (5)

Part C Indicator #3: Infant & Toddler Outcomes (New Indicator)

Part C Monitoring Priority Indicator #3:

Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:

- A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);
- B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and
- C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.

Measurement:

- a. Percent of infants and toddlers who reach or maintain functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers = # of infants and toddlers who reach or maintain functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers divided by # of infants and toddlers with IFSPs assessed times 100.
- b. Percent of infants and toddlers who improve functioning = # of infants and toddlers who improved functioning divided by # of infants and toddlers with IFSPs assessed times 100.
- c. Percent of infants and toddlers who did not improve functioning = # of infants and toddlers who did not improve functioning divided by # of infants and toddlers with IFSPs assessed times 100.

If children meet the criteria for a, report them in a. Do not include children reported in a in b or c.

If a + b + c does not sum to 100%, explain the difference.

WHAT CONTENT MUST STATES INCLUDE FOR NEW INDICATORS?

Met, Unmet or N/A	SPP Page #	Content - Italics indicate content unique to this indicator.
		Described how data are to be collected so the State will be able to report entry data in the FFY 2005 APR due 2/1/07 and baseline, target, and progress data in the FFY 2006 APR due 2/1/08.
		<i>Sampling of infants and toddlers with IFSPs is allowed. If sampling is to be used, a description of the sampling methodology outlining how the design will yield valid and reliable estimates was submitted.</i>

WHAT CONTENT WILL BE INCLUDED IN THE SPP FOR THIS INDICATOR DUE 2/1/07?

Met or Unmet	SPP Page #	Content - Italics indicate content unique to this indicator.
N/A*	N/A*	Provided an overview or description of the issue, process, system (i.e., Infant and Toddler Outcomes).
N/A*	N/A*	Provided clear, quantifiable baseline data using the data source and measurements determined by the State. (Problems could include unreliable or incomplete data, not 2004-05 data, wrong calculation used, sample size not representative or too small, etc.)
N/A*	N/A*	<i>First year (2/1/07) will be status upon entry. Following years (starting with 2/1/08) will be progress from entry to exit or other naturally occurring point near exit (such as IFSP/IEP review) for children who have received Part C services for 6 months or more.</i>
N/A*	N/A*	TARGETS: Designated the Measurable and Rigorous Targets for each of the FFYs 2005-2010.

Part C SPPCP05 (5)

N/A*	N/A*	IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITIES: Described the Improvement Activities the State will complete over 6 years including timelines and resources.
<i>"N/A" means the information is not required in the SPP due December 2005.</i>		
Comments:		

DRAFT

Part C SPPCP05 (5)

Part C Indicator #4: Family Outcomes (New Indicator)

Part C Monitoring Priority Indicator #4:

Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:

- A. Know their rights;
- B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and
- C. Help their children develop and learn.

Measurement:

- a. Percent = # of respondent families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family know their rights divided by the # of respondent families participating in Part C times 100.
- b. Percent = # of respondent families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family effectively communicate their children's needs divided by the # of respondent families participating in Part C times 100.
- c. Percent = # of respondent families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family help their children develop and learn divided by the # of respondent families participating in Part C times 100.

WHAT CONTENT MUST STATES INCLUDE FOR NEW INDICATORS?

Met, Unmet or N/A	SPP Page #	Content - Italics indicate content unique to this indicator.
		Described how data are to be collected so that the State will be able to report baseline data and targets in the FFY 2005 APR due 2/1/07.
		<i>Sampling of families participating in Part C is allowed. When sampling is to be used, a description of the sampling methodology outlining how the design will yield valid and reliable estimates was submitted.</i>

WHAT CONTENT WILL BE INCLUDED IN THE SPP FOR THIS INDICATOR DUE 2/1/07?

Met or Unmet	SPP Page #	Content - Italics indicate content unique to this indicator.
N/A*	N/A*	Provided an overview or description of the issue, process, system (i.e., Family Outcomes).
N/A*	N/A*	Provided clear, quantifiable baseline data using the data source and measurements determined by the State. (Problems could include unreliable or incomplete data, not 2004-05 data, wrong calculation used, sample size not representative or too small, etc.)
N/A*	N/A*	TARGETS: Designated the Measurable and Rigorous Targets for each of the FFYs 2005-2010.
N/A*	N/A*	<i>States submitted a copy of any survey used for this indicator.</i>

Part C SPPCP05 (5)

N/A*	N/A*	IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITIES: Described the Improvement Activities the State will complete over 6 years including timelines and resources.
<i>*"N/A" means the information is not required in the SPP due December 2005.</i>		
Comments:		

DRAFT

Part C SPPCP05 (5)

Part C Indicator #5: Child Find Birth to One

Part C Monitoring Priority Indicator #5:

Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:

- A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and
- B. National data.

Measurement:

- a. Percent = # of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs divided by the population of infants and toddlers birth to 1 times 100 compared to the same percent calculated for other States with similar (narrow, moderate or broad) eligibility definitions.
- b. Percent = # of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs divided by the population of infants and toddlers birth to 1 times 100 compared to National data.

WHAT CONTENT MUST EACH STATE INCLUDE IN ITS SPP?

Met or Unmet	SPP Page #	Content - Italics indicate content unique to this indicator.
		Provided an overview or description of the issue, process, system (i.e., Child Find birth to 1).
		Provided clear, quantifiable baseline data using the data source and measurements determined by the State. (Problems could include unreliable or incomplete data, not 2004-05 data, wrong calculation used, sample size not representative or too small, etc.)
		TARGETS: Designated the Measurable and Rigorous Targets for each of the FFYs 2005-2010.
		IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITIES: Described the Improvement Activities the State will complete over 6 years including timelines and resources.
		<i>Sampling from State's 618 data is not allowed.</i>
Comments:		

Part C SPPCP05 (5)

Part C Indicator #6: Child Find Birth to Three

Part C Monitoring Priority Indicator #6:

Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:

- A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and
- B. National data.

Measurement:

- a. Percent = # of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs divided by the population of infants and toddlers birth to 1 times 100 compared to the same percent calculated for other States with similar (narrow, moderate or broad) eligibility definitions.
- b. Percent = # of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs divided by the population of infants and toddlers birth to 1 times 100 compared to National data.

WHAT CONTENT MUST EACH STATE INCLUDE IN ITS SPP?

Met or Unmet	SPP Page #	Content - Italics indicate content unique to this indicator.
		Provided an overview or description of the issue, process, system (i.e., Child Find birth to 3).
		Provided clear, quantifiable baseline data using the data source and measurements determined by the State. (Problems could include unreliable or incomplete data, not 2004-05 data, wrong calculation used, sample size not representative or too small, etc.)
		TARGETS: Designated the Measurable and Rigorous Targets for each of the FFYs 2005-2010.
		IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITIES: Described the Improvement Activities the State will complete over 6 years including timelines and resources.
		<i>Sampling from State's 618 data is not allowed.</i>
Comments:		

Part C SPPCP05 (5)

Part C Indicator #7: 45-Day Timeline

Part C Monitoring Priority Indicator #7:

Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.

Measurement:

Percent = # of eligible infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting was conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline divided by # of eligible infants and toddlers evaluated and assessed times 100.

WHAT CONTENT MUST EACH STATE INCLUDE IN ITS SPP?

Met, Unmet or N/A	SPP Page #	Content - Italics indicate content unique to this indicator.
		Provided an overview or description of the issue, process, system (i.e., 45-day timelines).
		Provided clear, quantifiable baseline data using the data source and measurements determined by the State. (Problems could include unreliable or incomplete data, not 2004-05 data, wrong calculation used, sample size not representative or too small, etc.)
		<i>Data taken from monitoring or State data system.</i>
		<i>Data addressed timeline from point of referral to initial IFSP meeting based on actual, not an average, number of days.</i>
		<i>When data are taken from State monitoring, States described the method used to select EIS programs for monitoring.</i>
		<i>Accounted for untimely evaluations.</i>
		TARGETS: Designated the Measurable and Rigorous Targets for each of the FFYs 2005-2010. Target must be 100%.
		IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITIES: Described the Improvement Activities the State will complete over 6 years including timelines and resources.
Comments:		

Part C SPPCP05 (5)

Part C Indicator #8: Early Childhood Transition

Part C Monitoring Priority Indicator #8:

Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:

- A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;
- B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and
- C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.

Measurement:

- a. Percent = # of children exiting Part C who have an IFSP with transition steps and services divided by # of children exiting Part C times 100.
- b. Percent = # of children exiting Part C and potentially eligible for Part B where notification to LEA occurred divided by # of children exiting Part C who were potentially eligible for Part B times 100.
- c. Percent = # of children exiting Part C and potentially eligible for Part B where the transition conference occurred divided by # of children exiting Part C who were potentially eligible for Part B times 100.

WHAT CONTENT MUST EACH STATE INCLUDE IN ITS SPP?

Met, Unmet or N/A	SPP Page #	Content - Italics indicate content unique to this indicator.
		Provided an overview or description of the issue, process, system (i.e., Early Childhood Transition).
		Provided clear, quantifiable baseline data using the data source and measurements determined by the State. (Problems could include unreliable or incomplete data, not 2004-05 data, wrong calculation used, sample size not representative or too small, etc.)
		<i>Data were taken from monitoring or State data system.</i>
		<i>If data were taken from State monitoring, State described the method used to select EIS programs for monitoring.</i>
		TARGETS: Designated the Measurable and Rigorous Targets for each of the FFYs 2005-2010. Target must be 100%.
		IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITIES: Described the Improvement Activities the State will complete over 6 years including timelines and resources.
Comments:		

Part C SPPCP05 (5)

Part C Indicator #9: Identification and Correction of Noncompliance

Part C Monitoring Priority Indicator #9:

General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.

Measurement:

- A. Percent of noncompliance related to monitoring priority areas and indicators corrected within one year of identification:
- # of findings of noncompliance made related to monitoring priority areas and indicators.
 - # of corrections completed as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.

Percent = b divided by a times 100.

For any noncompliance not corrected within one year of identification, describe what actions, including technical assistance and/or enforcement that the State has taken.

- B. Percent of noncompliance related to areas not included in the above priority areas and indicators corrected within one year of identification:
- # of findings of noncompliance made related to such areas.
 - # of corrections completed as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.

Percent = b divided by a times 100.

For any noncompliance not corrected within one year of identification, describe what actions, including technical assistance and/or enforcement that the State has taken.

- C. Percent of noncompliance identified through other mechanisms (complaints, due process hearings, mediations, etc.) corrected within one year of identification:
- # of agencies in which noncompliance was identified through other mechanisms.
 - # of findings of noncompliance made.
 - # of corrections completed as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.

Percent = c divided by b times 100.

For any noncompliance not corrected within one year of identification, describe what actions, including technical assistance and/or enforcement that the State has taken.

WHAT CONTENT MUST EACH STATE INCLUDE IN ITS SPP?

Met, Unmet or N/A	SPP Page #	Content - Italics indicate content unique to this indicator.
		Provided an overview or description of the issue, process, system (i.e., Identification and Correction of Noncompliance).
		<i>Lead Agency described the process for selecting EIS programs for monitoring.</i>
		Provided clear, quantifiable baseline data using the data source and measurements determined by the State. (Problems could include unreliable or incomplete data, not 2004-05 data, wrong calculation used, sample size not representative or too small, etc.)

Part C SPPCP05 (5)

		<i>Indicated the number of EIS Programs monitored related to the monitoring priority areas and indicators, and the number of EIS Programs monitored related to areas not included in monitoring priority areas and indicators.</i>
		<i>In Measurements B and C, if areas of noncompliance, not related to monitoring priority areas and indicators, were grouped by topical areas, the Lead Agency described the topical areas.</i>
		<i>For any noncompliance not corrected within one year of identification, the State described what actions, including technical assistance and/or enforcement that the State has taken.</i>
		TARGETS: Designated the Measurable and Rigorous Targets for each of the FFYs 2005-2010. Target must be 100%.
		IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITIES: Described the Improvement Activities the State will complete over 6 years including timelines and resources.
Comments:		

Part C SPPCP05 (5)

Part C Indicator #10: Complaint Timelines

Part C Monitoring Priority Indicator #10:

Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline, including a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.

Measurement:

Percent = (1.1(b) + 1.1(c)) divided by (1.1) times 100).

WHAT CONTENT SHOULD EACH STATE INCLUDE IN ITS SPP?

Met or Unmet	SPP Page #	Content - Italics indicate content unique to this indicator.
		Provided an overview or description of the issue, process, system (i.e., Complaint Timelines).
		Provided clear, quantifiable baseline data using the data source and measurements determined by the State. (Problems could include unreliable or incomplete data, not 2004-05 data, wrong calculation used, sample size not representative or too small, etc.)
		<i>Used the data collected on Attachment 1.</i>
		TARGETS: Designated the Measurable and Rigorous Targets for each of the FFYs 2005-2010. Target must be 100%.
		IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITIES: Described the Improvement Activities the State will complete over 6 years including timelines and resources.
		<i>Sampling is not allowed.</i>
Comments:		

Part C SPPCP05 (5)

Part C Indicator #11: Due Process Timelines

Part C Monitoring Priority Indicator #11:

Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.

Measurement:

Percent = (3.2(a) + 3.2(b)) divided by (3.2) times 100).

WHAT CONTENT MUST EACH STATE INCLUDE IN ITS SPP?

Met or Unmet	SPP Page #	Content - Italics indicate content unique to this indicator.
		Provided an overview or description of the issue, process, system (i.e., Due Process Timelines).
		Provided clear, quantifiable baseline data using the data source and measurements determined by the State. (Problems could include unreliable or incomplete data, not 2004-05 data, wrong calculation used, sample size not representative or too small, etc.)
		<i>Used the data collected on Attachment 1.</i>
		TARGETS: Designated the Measurable and Rigorous Targets for each of the FFYs 2005-2010. Target must be 100%.
		IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITIES: Described the Improvement Activities the State will complete over 6 years including timelines and resources.
		<i>Sampling is not allowed.</i>
Comments:		

Part C SPPCP05 (5)

Part C Indicator #12: Hearing Requests Resolved by Resolution Sessions (New Indicator)

Part C Monitoring Priority Indicator #12:

Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).

Measurement:

Percent = (3.1(a) divided by (3.1) times 100).

WHAT CONTENT MUST STATES INCLUDE FOR NEW INDICATORS?

Met or Unmet	SPP Page #	Content - Italics indicate content unique to this indicator.
		Described how data are to be collected so that the State will be able to report baseline data and targets in the FFY 2005 APR due 2/1/07.

WHAT CONTENT WILL BE INCLUDED IN THE SPP FOR THIS INDICATOR DUE 2/1/07?

Met or Unmet	SPP Page #	Content - Italics indicate content unique to this indicator.
N/A*	N/A*	Provided an overview or description of the issue (i.e., Hearing Requests Resolved by Resolution Sessions).
N/A*	N/A*	Provided clear, quantifiable baseline data using the data source and measurements determined by the State. (Problems could include unreliable or incomplete data, not 2004-05 data, wrong calculation used, sample size not representative or too small, etc.)
N/A*	N/A*	<i>Used the data collected on Attachment 1.</i>
N/A*	N/A*	TARGETS: Designated the Measurable and Rigorous Targets for each of the FFYs 2005-2010.
N/A*	N/A*	IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITIES: Described the Improvement Activities the State will complete over 6 years including timelines and resources.
		<i>Sampling is not allowed.</i>

*"N/A" means the information is not required in the SPP due December 2005.

Comments:

Part C SPPCP05 (5)

Part C Indicator #13: Mediation Agreements

Part C Monitoring Priority Indicator #13:

Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.

Measurement:

Percent = (2.1(a)(i) + 2.1(b)(i) divided by (2.1) times 100).

WHAT CONTENT MUST EACH STATE INCLUDE IN ITS SPP?

Met or Unmet	SPP Page #	Content - Italics indicate content unique to this indicator.
		Provided an overview or description of the issue (i.e., Mediation Agreements).
		Provided clear, quantifiable baseline data using the data source and measurements determined by the State. (Problems could include unreliable or incomplete data, not 2004-05 data, wrong calculation used, sample size not representative or too small, etc.)
		<i>Used the data collected on Attachment 1.</i>
		TARGETS: Designated the Measurable and Rigorous Targets for each of the FFYs 2005-2010.
		IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITIES: Described the Improvement Activities the State will complete over 6 years including timelines and resources.
		<i>Sampling is not allowed.</i>
Comments:		

Part C SPPCP05 (5)

Part C Indicator #14: State Reported Data

Part C Monitoring Priority Indicator #14:

State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.

Measurement:

Appropriate State reported data, including 618 data, and annual performance reports are:

Submitted on or before due dates (February 1 for child count, including race and ethnicity, settings; November 1 for exiting, personnel, dispute resolution; and February 1 for Annual Performance Reports); and
Accurate (describe mechanisms for ensuring accuracy).

WHAT CONTENT MUST EACH STATE INCLUDE IN ITS SPP?

Met or Unmet	SPP Page #	Content - Italics indicate content unique to this indicator.
		Provided an overview or description of the issue (i.e., State Reported Data).
		Provided clear, quantifiable baseline data using the data source and measurements determined by the State. (Problems could include unreliable or incomplete data, not 2004-05 data, wrong calculation used, sample size not representative or too small, etc.)
		<i>State selected data sources, including data from State data system, as well as technical assistance and monitoring systems.</i>
		TARGETS: Designated the Measurable and Rigorous Targets for each of the FFYs 2005-2010. Target must be 100%.
		IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITIES: Described the Improvement Activities the State will complete over 6 years including timelines and resources.
Comments:		